1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 8 0	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) United States Attorney  MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division  SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5056 FAX: (408) 535-5066 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	V 0.177777 07 1 777 07 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. 07-70225 PVT	
14	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY	
15	٧.	) HEARING OR ARRAIGNMENT DATE	
16	ARIEL ARELLANO DIAZ, a/k/a ANTONIO JUAN GOMEZ,	) AND EXCLUDING TIME )	
17	Defendant.		
18		) SAN JOSE VENUE )	
19		ے	
20			
21	The undersigned parties respectfully request that the preliminary hearing or arraignment in		
22	the above-referenced case be continued from June 28, 2007 to August 2, 2007 at 1:30 p.m. before		
23	the Honorable Judge Lloyd. The reason for the continuance is that Miguel Hernandez, who		
24	represents the defendant, needs additional time to investigate the case and consider a pre-		
25	indictment resolution that the government has offered him. In addition, the parties request an		
26	exclusion of time under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Speedy Trial		
27	Act from June 28, 2007 to August 2, 2007. The parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of		
28	time is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel.		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER No. 07-70225 PVT	1	

1		SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney
2		•
3		/s/ SUSAN KNIGHT
4	<u>'</u>	Assistant United States Attorney
5	DATED:	/s/
6		MIGUEL A. HERNANDEZ Counsel for the defendant
7		
8	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the preliminary hearing or arraignment is	
9	continued to August 2, 2007 at 1:30 p.m. before the Honorable Judge Lloyd. Good cause	
10	is shown and the continuance is proper under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	
11	and 18 U.S.C. § 3060.	
12	For good cause shown, the Court FURTHER ORDERS that time be excluded under the	
13	Speedy Trial Act from June 28, 2007 to August 2, 2007. The Court finds, based on the	
14	aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance	
15	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant	
16	the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective	
17	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage	
18	of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18	
19	U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).	
20	SO ORDERED.	to a sequence of
21		
22	DATED: $\omega/22/07$	Safricia Vinnell
23		United States Magistrate Judge
24		
25		e e e
26		
27		
28		